



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mn
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,726	03/19/2004	Peter L. Montgomery	MS1-1245US	8255
22801	7590	07/16/2007		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			EXAMINER MAI, TAN V	
			ART UNIT 2193	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,726	MONTGOMERY, PETER L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tan V. Mai. (3)_____.

(2) Jason Lindh. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2007.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,10,28 and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant's BACKGROUND.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TAN V. MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (1) 112, 2nd issue: claims 10 & 28 are hybrid claims; (2) 101 issue: rejected claims lack specific practical application and (3) 102(a) issue: proposed amended claim 1 is too broad. The "Classical School Style" technique still read on the claimed invention when several "coefficient multiplication operations" are zeros (the examiner gives an example: $a^2 - b^2 = (a-b)(a+b)$, substitute $a = 1$ & $b = x^5$, then the product polynomial equal $1 - x^{10}$. Therefore the total number of coefficient multiplication is ONE). Claim 35 is also too broad, the "Classical School Style" technique still read on the claimed invention. .



TAN V. MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/804,726 First Named Applicant: Peter L. Montgomery
Examiner: TAN V MAI Art Unit: 2193 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:
(1) TAN V MAI (2) Jason F. Lindh
(3) (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: July 10, 2007 Proposed Time: 1pm EST (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:
(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO
If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 112 rej.	10 and 28		[]	[]	[]
(2) 101 issue			[]	[]	[]
(3) 102 rej.	1-3, 8-10, 20-22, 27-29 and 34-36		[]	[]	[]
(4)			[]	[]	[]

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:
"Please see previously faxed agenda"

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Jason F. Lindh

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

59090

Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner/SPE Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.